



Determinants of non-performing loans and bank efficiency in Tanzania

Kaesser M Said

Department of Economic and Finance, Zanzibar University, Tunguu, Tanzania

Abstract

Non-performing loans (NPLs) are a major concern for commercial banks, affecting profitability, liquidity, and overall financial sector stability. In Tanzania, rising NPLs have raised questions about the efficiency and risk management practices of banks. This study examines the determinants of non-performing loans and their relationship with bank efficiency in the Tanzanian banking sector. The study employs a quantitative research approach using secondary data collected from annual reports of commercial banks and financial statements over a ten-year period (2013–2022). Key variables analyzed include bank-specific factors such as capital adequacy, management efficiency, liquidity, and credit growth, as well as macroeconomic factors including inflation, interest rates, and GDP growth. Efficiency is measured using Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) and other performance indicators. The findings indicate that higher capital adequacy and management efficiency are associated with lower levels of NPLs, while rapid credit expansion and high inflation contribute to increased loan defaults. Moreover, banks with higher operational efficiency tend to maintain lower NPL ratios, suggesting that efficient resource allocation and risk management practices are crucial for financial stability. The study concludes that enhancing bank efficiency, strengthening regulatory oversight, and implementing prudent lending policies are essential to reduce NPLs and promote sustainable growth in Tanzania's financial sector. The results have significant implications for policymakers, bank managers, and investors seeking to improve banking performance and foster a resilient financial system.

Keywords: Non-performing loans, bank efficiency, financial sector, tanzania, credit risk, capital adequacy, liquidity, macroeconomic factors

Introduction

The financial sector plays a critical role in economic growth by mobilizing savings, allocating capital efficiently, and facilitating investments. In developing economies like Tanzania, commercial banks constitute the backbone of the financial system and significantly influence financial stability and economic development. However, the sustainability and efficiency of banks are often threatened by the prevalence of non-performing loans (NPLs), which not only reduce profitability but also increase liquidity risk and undermine confidence in the banking sector. Understanding the determinants of NPLs and their relationship with bank efficiency is therefore vital for promoting a resilient financial sector in Tanzania.

Non-performing loans are broadly defined as loans for which borrowers fail to make principal or interest payments within a specified period, usually 90 days or more. High levels of NPLs reflect weak credit risk management, inefficient banking operations, or unfavorable macroeconomic conditions. In Tanzania, the ratio of NPLs to total loans has fluctuated over the past decade, with some commercial banks experiencing ratios above the recommended regulatory thresholds. This situation has raised concerns among policymakers, bank managers, and investors about the efficiency of the banking sector and its capacity to support economic growth.

Several theoretical perspectives explain the occurrence of NPLs. The credit risk theory posits that NPLs arise due to borrowers' inability or unwillingness to repay loans, often linked to their financial position, business performance, and external economic conditions. Meanwhile, bank efficiency theory emphasizes that the operational and managerial efficiency of banks influences their ability to assess credit risk, monitor borrowers, and recover loans. Inefficient banks

are more likely to experience high NPL ratios due to poor loan appraisal, weak monitoring, and inadequate recovery mechanisms. These theoretical frameworks suggest that both bank-specific factors (such as capital adequacy, liquidity, size, and management efficiency) and macroeconomic variables (such as GDP growth, inflation, and interest rates) are critical determinants of NPLs.

Empirical studies in developing countries have highlighted the multifaceted nature of NPLs. Bank-specific factors, including poor management, weak internal controls, excessive credit expansion, and undercapitalization, have been consistently associated with higher NPL ratios. For example, banks with inadequate capital buffers often engage in riskier lending practices, which increase the likelihood of loan defaults. Similarly, inefficient operational practices, such as delayed credit assessments or poor monitoring of borrowers, exacerbate credit risk and reduce profitability. Conversely, banks with strong governance, sound risk management, and high operational efficiency tend to maintain lower levels of NPLs.

Macroeconomic conditions also significantly influence NPL levels. Economic downturns, high inflation, and volatile interest rates can reduce borrowers' repayment capacity, leading to an increase in NPLs. In Tanzania, fluctuations in GDP growth, exchange rates, and inflation over the past decade have impacted borrowers' ability to service loans, particularly in sectors such as agriculture, trade, and manufacturing. These macroeconomic shocks often amplify the vulnerabilities of inefficient banks, further stressing the importance of linking NPL determinants with bank efficiency.

Bank efficiency is a critical dimension in understanding NPLs. Efficiency refers to a bank's ability to utilize its resources—such as labor, capital, and deposits—effectively

to generate maximum output or profits while minimizing waste. Efficient banks can allocate credit more prudently, assess borrower risk accurately, and implement effective recovery strategies, thereby mitigating the incidence of non-performing loans. In Tanzania, efficiency levels among commercial banks vary due to differences in management quality, technological adoption, and regulatory compliance. Understanding the relationship between efficiency and NPLs is therefore essential for designing interventions that enhance bank performance and financial sector stability.

In recent years, the Bank of Tanzania (BoT) has implemented several measures to reduce NPLs and improve banking efficiency. These measures include stricter credit reporting requirements, enhanced regulatory oversight, and the introduction of guidelines for prudent lending. While these policies have yielded some improvements, NPLs remain a concern, particularly for smaller commercial banks and microfinance institutions. Furthermore, studies on NPL determinants and bank efficiency in Tanzania are limited, leaving gaps in understanding how internal bank operations and external economic factors jointly influence credit risk.

The current study seeks to fill this gap by analyzing the determinants of non-performing loans and their relationship with bank efficiency in Tanzania. Specifically, the study examines how bank-specific factors such as capital adequacy, liquidity, management efficiency, and credit growth, alongside macroeconomic variables like GDP growth, inflation, and interest rates, influence NPL levels. Additionally, the study evaluates bank efficiency using quantitative measures such as Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) and financial performance ratios. By doing so, the study provides insights into the mechanisms through which bank operations and economic conditions interact to affect credit risk and financial stability.

The significance of this study is threefold. First, it contributes to the academic literature on banking efficiency and credit risk in developing countries, particularly within the Tanzanian context. Second, it provides practical insights for bank managers to strengthen risk management practices, optimize operational efficiency, and reduce NPLs. Third, the findings offer guidance for policymakers and regulators in designing policies that promote a resilient and efficient banking sector capable of supporting sustainable economic development.

In summary, non-performing loans pose a major challenge to the efficiency and stability of commercial banks in Tanzania. Both internal bank characteristics and external macroeconomic conditions determine the level of NPLs, which in turn affect overall financial sector development. By examining these determinants and the relationship with bank efficiency, this study seeks to provide evidence-based recommendations for improving banking performance, mitigating credit risk, and fostering sustainable financial sector growth in Tanzania.

Methods

Research Design

This study employed a quantitative research design to examine the determinants of non-performing loans and their relationship with bank efficiency in Tanzania. A descriptive and explanatory approach was adopted to provide both a detailed understanding of the NPL trends and the causal relationships between bank-specific and macroeconomic factors and NPL levels. The study primarily relied on

secondary data obtained from annual reports, financial statements, and regulatory publications over a ten-year period (2013–2022).

Population and Sample

The target population consisted of all licensed commercial banks operating in Tanzania during the study period. The study included 25 banks, comprising state-owned, privately owned, and foreign-owned commercial banks. The sample selection was based on the availability of complete financial data and regulatory compliance records for the study period.

Data Sources and Variables

Secondary Data

Data were collected from the Bank of Tanzania (BoT) annual reports, audited financial statements of commercial banks, World Bank databases, and IMF reports. These data sources provided detailed information on loan portfolios, NPL ratios, capital adequacy, liquidity, and profitability indicators. Macroeconomic variables such as GDP growth, inflation, and interest rates were obtained from the Bank of Tanzania and World Bank economic databases.

Variables

- **Dependent Variable:** Non-performing loans (NPLs), measured as the ratio of non-performing loans to total loans.

Independent Variables

Bank-specific factors

- Capital adequacy ratio (CAR)
- Liquidity ratio
- Management efficiency (measured by cost-to-income ratio)
- Credit growth

Macroeconomic factors

- GDP growth
- Inflation rate
- Interest rates

- **Efficiency Measures:** Operational efficiency was measured using Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) and financial ratios such as return on assets (ROA) and return on equity (ROE).

Data Analysis

Quantitative data were analyzed using statistical software (SPSS and Stata). The analysis included:

1. **Descriptive Statistics:** Mean, standard deviation, minimum, and maximum values to summarize NPL trends and bank efficiency indicators.
2. **Correlation Analysis:** To identify preliminary relationships between bank-specific, macroeconomic factors, and NPLs.
3. **Regression Analysis:** Multiple linear regression models were employed to assess the determinants of NPLs. Panel data regression was used to account for both cross-sectional (bank-level) and time-series (yearly) variations. Fixed-effects and random-effects models were tested using the Hausman specification test to select the most appropriate model.

4. **Efficiency Analysis:** DEA was applied to evaluate bank efficiency in resource utilization. Efficiency scores were then correlated with NPL levels to examine their relationship.

Ethical Considerations

The study used publicly available secondary data and complied with ethical standards for research. Confidentiality of bank-specific information was maintained, and no proprietary data were disclosed. The research was conducted solely for academic purposes.

Results

Overview of Non-Performing Loans

The analysis of data from 25 commercial banks in Tanzania over the period 2013–2022 revealed that the average non-performing loan (NPL) ratio fluctuated between 4.8% and 9.2% across banks and years. While some large commercial banks maintained relatively low NPL ratios (below 5%), smaller and medium-sized banks experienced higher levels, sometimes exceeding 10% in specific years. The trend indicated that NPLs peaked during periods of economic slowdown, notably in 2016 and 2020, coinciding with lower GDP growth and higher inflation.

Descriptive statistics showed that the mean NPL ratio for all sampled banks was 6.7%, with a standard deviation of 2.1%. The highest recorded NPL ratio was 12.3%, observed in a medium-sized private bank, while the lowest was 2.5% in a well-capitalized foreign-owned bank. These variations highlight the influence of bank-specific characteristics and macroeconomic conditions on loan performance.

Bank-Specific Determinants

Capital Adequacy Ratio (CAR)

Capital adequacy emerged as a significant determinant of NPLs. Banks with higher CAR generally experienced lower NPL ratios. The correlation analysis showed a negative relationship ($r = -0.62$, $p < 0.01$) between CAR and NPLs. Regression results confirmed this relationship, indicating that a 1% increase in CAR reduces NPLs by approximately 0.4 percentage points, holding other variables constant. This suggests that banks with stronger capital buffers can absorb credit risk more effectively and adopt prudent lending practices.

Liquidity

Liquidity ratios, measured as liquid assets to total deposits, also negatively correlated with NPLs ($r = -0.45$, $p < 0.05$). Banks with higher liquidity levels were better able to manage short-term obligations and maintain credit quality. Regression analysis showed that liquidity significantly reduces NPLs, with banks maintaining a liquidity ratio above 30% experiencing 0.7 percentage points lower NPLs on average.

Management Efficiency

Management efficiency, proxied by the cost-to-income ratio, showed a positive association with NPLs. Banks with higher operational inefficiency (higher cost-to-income ratios) tended to have higher NPLs. Regression results indicated that a 1% increase in the cost-to-income ratio is associated with a 0.3% increase in NPL ratios. This finding underscores the importance of efficient operations in credit risk management.

Credit Growth

Rapid credit expansion was found to increase NPLs. Banks that reported above-average annual credit growth ($>20\%$) experienced higher loan defaults, particularly when lending standards were relaxed. The regression coefficient for credit growth was 0.25, indicating that a 1% increase in credit growth results in a 0.25% increase in NPLs. This aligns with global evidence that aggressive lending practices, without adequate risk assessment, can compromise loan quality.

Macroeconomic Determinants

GDP Growth

Macroeconomic performance significantly influenced NPLs. A negative correlation ($r = -0.53$, $p < 0.01$) was observed between GDP growth and NPL ratios. Years with lower GDP growth, such as 2016 (5.2%) and 2020 (3.0%), corresponded with higher NPL ratios. Regression results suggest that a 1% increase in GDP growth reduces NPLs by 0.3 percentage points. Economic growth improves borrowers' repayment capacity and reduces credit risk exposure for banks.

Inflation

Inflation positively impacted NPL levels. High inflation erodes borrowers' purchasing power, affecting their ability to service loans. Correlation analysis showed $r = 0.48$ ($p < 0.05$) between inflation and NPLs. Regression findings indicated that a 1% increase in inflation increases NPLs by 0.2 percentage points, emphasizing the vulnerability of banks to macroeconomic shocks.

Interest Rates

Interest rate fluctuations had mixed effects. While higher lending rates can improve bank income, they may also increase repayment burdens for borrowers. The regression analysis indicated that a 1% increase in interest rates is associated with a 0.15% increase in NPL ratios, suggesting moderate sensitivity of borrowers to borrowing costs in Tanzania.

Bank Efficiency and NPLs

Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA) was employed to measure bank efficiency. Efficiency scores ranged from 0.65 to 0.98, with an average of 0.81. Efficient banks consistently reported lower NPLs. Regression analysis of DEA efficiency scores against NPL ratios showed a negative coefficient (-0.48 , $p < 0.01$), indicating that higher operational efficiency is associated with lower loan defaults. Cross-tabulation revealed that banks in the top quartile of efficiency scores (0.90–0.98) had an average NPL ratio of 4.2%, while banks in the bottom quartile (0.65–0.75) recorded an average of 9.5%. This result underscores the critical role of operational efficiency in mitigating credit risk and maintaining financial stability.

Summary of Regression Model

The panel regression model explained approximately 68% of the variation in NPLs (adjusted $R^2 = 0.68$). Both bank-specific factors (capital adequacy, liquidity, management efficiency, credit growth) and macroeconomic factors (GDP growth, inflation, interest rates) were statistically significant determinants of NPLs. DEA efficiency scores further confirmed the inverse relationship between operational efficiency and NPL ratios. The model results are summarized in Table 1 below.

Table 1: Determinants of Non-Performing Loans in Tanzania (Regression Results)

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Value	p-Value
Capital Adequacy Ratio	-0.40	0.12	-3.33	0.002
Liquidity Ratio	-0.70	0.25	-2.80	0.007
Management Efficiency	0.30	0.11	2.73	0.008
Credit Growth	0.25	0.09	2.78	0.006
GDP Growth	-0.30	0.10	-3.00	0.004
Inflation	0.20	0.08	2.50	0.012
Interest Rate	0.15	0.07	2.14	0.033
DEA Efficiency Score	-0.48	0.14	-3.43	0.001
Constant	6.20	1.12	5.54	0.000

Discussion

The results provide strong evidence that both bank-specific and macroeconomic factors are critical determinants of non-performing loans in Tanzania, and that bank efficiency plays a key role in mitigating credit risk.

Bank-Specific Factors

Capital adequacy was found to significantly reduce NPLs, consistent with global studies showing that well-capitalized banks adopt prudent lending practices and can absorb potential loan losses. This indicates that regulatory policies enforcing minimum capital requirements in Tanzania have a positive impact on financial stability.

Liquidity also emerged as an important determinant. Banks with higher liquidity ratios had lower NPLs, suggesting that maintaining sufficient liquid assets enables banks to meet obligations and provide adequate monitoring of borrowers. This finding aligns with theoretical models of liquidity risk and credit quality.

Management efficiency, measured via cost-to-income ratios, positively influenced NPLs. Inefficient banks are more likely to experience operational lapses, poor credit monitoring, and delayed recovery, which increases defaults. This highlights the importance of managerial competence and operational efficiency in credit risk management.

Rapid credit growth was associated with higher NPLs, reflecting the risks of aggressive lending without adequate assessment of borrowers' repayment capacity. This supports the "credit boom" hypothesis, which posits that rapid expansion in lending can compromise credit quality if not supported by strong risk management systems.

Macroeconomic Factors

Economic performance strongly influenced NPLs. Lower GDP growth increased loan defaults, as borrowers' incomes and cash flows were adversely affected. This finding underscores the vulnerability of Tanzanian banks to macroeconomic fluctuations, particularly in sectors like agriculture and trade, which are sensitive to economic shocks.

High inflation increased the burden on borrowers, leading to elevated NPLs. While inflation erodes the real value of debt, it also reduces disposable income and can strain borrowers' repayment capacity. Interest rates showed a moderate effect, indicating that borrowers are somewhat sensitive to borrowing costs, although the effect is less pronounced than GDP growth or inflation.

Bank Efficiency

DEA efficiency scores demonstrated a strong inverse relationship with NPLs. Efficient banks were better able to

allocate resources, monitor credit portfolios, and recover loans, which reduced defaults. This finding confirms the theoretical proposition that operational efficiency enhances financial performance and stability. Banks with higher efficiency scores were often larger, technologically advanced, and better managed, highlighting the role of institutional capacity in mitigating credit risk.

Policy Implications

The findings have several important implications:

- Strengthening Capital and Liquidity Requirements:** Regulators should continue enforcing minimum capital and liquidity standards to ensure that banks can absorb shocks and maintain credit quality.
- Enhancing Bank Efficiency:** Banks should adopt better risk management systems, invest in staff training, and leverage technology to improve operational efficiency, thereby reducing NPLs.
- Prudent Credit Expansion:** Policymakers and bank managers should monitor credit growth to avoid excessive lending that could compromise loan quality.
- Macroeconomic Stability:** Sound fiscal and monetary policies are critical in maintaining low inflation and stable economic growth, which indirectly support credit quality.

Limitations

While this study provides valuable insights, it has limitations. The analysis relied on secondary financial data, which may not capture qualitative aspects of bank operations. Additionally, the study focused only on commercial banks and did not include microfinance institutions or other financial intermediaries, which also play a role in Tanzania's financial sector. Future research could incorporate more granular borrower-level data or analyze the role of technological adoption in reducing NPLs.

Conclusion

In summary, the study demonstrates that non-performing loans in Tanzania are influenced by a combination of bank-specific factors (capital adequacy, liquidity, management efficiency, credit growth), macroeconomic variables (GDP growth, inflation, interest rates), and bank efficiency. Efficient banks maintain lower NPLs, highlighting the importance of operational and managerial competence in mitigating credit risk. Addressing these determinants through prudent regulation, improved bank management, and macroeconomic stability can enhance the resilience of Tanzania's banking sector and promote sustainable financial development.

This study examined the determinants of non-performing loans (NPLs) and the role of bank efficiency in the Tanzanian banking sector. The findings indicate that both bank-specific factors—such as capital adequacy, liquidity, management efficiency, and credit growth—and macroeconomic variables—including GDP growth, inflation, and interest rates—significantly influence NPL levels. Efficient banks, as measured by operational efficiency scores, tend to maintain lower NPL ratios, suggesting that effective resource allocation, prudent credit

appraisal, and strong risk management practices are critical for financial stability.

The study highlights that rapid credit expansion without adequate risk assessment and macroeconomic instability contribute to elevated NPLs. Strengthening regulatory oversight, enhancing bank governance, improving operational efficiency, and ensuring macroeconomic stability are essential for reducing credit risk. These measures not only protect banks' financial health but also foster sustainable growth and development in Tanzania's financial sector. Policymakers, bank managers, and investors can leverage these insights to enhance banking performance, mitigate credit risk, and promote resilience in the financial system.

References

1. Abdou HA, Pointon J. Credit risk determinants: Evidence from the UK credit card market. *Journal of Risk Finance*,2011;12(1):57–71.
2. Allen F, Santomero AM. The theory of financial intermediation. *Journal of Banking and Finance*,1998;21(11–12):1461–1485.
3. Altman EI. Financial ratios, discriminant analysis, and the prediction of corporate bankruptcy. *Journal of Finance*,1968;23(4):589–609.
4. Bank of Tanzania. Annual banking sector report. Bank of Tanzania, 2022.
5. Beck T, Demirgüç-Kunt A. Small and medium-size enterprises: Access to finance as a growth constraint. *Journal of Banking and Finance*,2006;30(11):2931–2943.
6. Berger AN, DeYoung R. Problem loans and cost efficiency in commercial banks. *Journal of Banking and Finance*,1997;21(6):849–870.
7. Bikker JA, Hu H. Cyclical patterns in profits, provisioning, and lending of banks. *Journal of Banking and Finance*,2002;26(12):2193–2214.
8. Chirwa EW. Determinants of commercial bank profitability in Malawi: A panel data approach. *African Development Review*,2003;15(1):110–123.
9. Dietrich A, Wanzenried G. Determinants of bank profitability before and during the crisis: Evidence from Switzerland. *Journal of International Financial Markets Institutions and Money*,2011;21(3):307–327.
10. Espinoza RA, Prasad A. Nonperforming loans in the GCC banking system and their macroeconomic effects. *International Monetary Fund Working Paper*, 2010, WP/10/224.
11. García-Herrero A, Gavilá S, Santabárbara D. What explains the low profitability of Chinese banks? *Journal of Banking and Finance*,2009;33(11):2080–2092.
12. Ghosh S. Non-performing assets and banking sector efficiency in India. *Asian Journal of Research in Banking and Finance*,2015;5(6):12–28.
13. Gropp R, Heider F. The determinants of bank capital structure. *Review of Finance*,2010;14(4):587–622.
14. Jiménez G, Saurina J. Credit cycles, credit risk, and prudential regulation. *International Journal of Central Banking*,2006;2(2):65–98.
15. Klein N. Non-performing loans in CESEE: Determinants and impact on macroeconomic performance. *International Monetary Fund Working Paper*, 2013, WP/13/72.
16. Kosmidou K, Pasiouras F, Doumpos M. Domestic and foreign banks in Greece: Efficiency, profitability, and risk. *International Review of Financial Analysis*,2008;17(5):1079–1093.
17. Laeven L, Levine R. Bank governance, regulation, and risk taking. *Journal of Financial Economics*,2009;93(2):259–275.
18. Louzis DP, Vouldis AT, Metaxas VL. Macroeconomic and bank-specific determinants of non-performing loans in Greece. *Journal of Banking and Finance*,2012;36(4):1012–1027.
19. Nkusu M. Non-performing loans and macrofinancial vulnerabilities in advanced economies. *International Monetary Fund Working Paper*, 2011, WP/11/161.
20. Onour I, Karim S. Non-performing loans in the GCC banking sector: Determinants and trends. *Journal of Financial Regulation and Compliance*,2016;24(2):150–169.
21. Santomero AM. Commercial bank risk management: An analysis of the process. *Journal of Financial Services Research*,1997;12(2–3):83–115.
22. Sufian F. Determinants of bank profitability in a developing economy: Empirical evidence from Bangladesh. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*,2011;12(2):215–241.
23. Tanzania Ministry of Finance. Economic survey report. Tanzania Ministry of Finance, 2021.
24. Tanzania National Bureau of Statistics. Macroeconomic indicators report. Tanzania National Bureau of Statistics, 2022.
25. Vong AP, Chan WH. Determinants of bank profitability in Macao. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*,2009;27:157–175.
26. Waweru NM, Kalani VM. Commercial banking crises in Kenya: Causes and remedies. *African Journal of Accounting Economics Finance and Banking Research*,2009;4(4):23–44.